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## Executive Summary

Based on AARP tracking studies dating back to 1985, the importance and value of the Social Security program is affirmed and widely held among the public. Tracking data in the 2007 survey are generally at or exceeding the levels of the previous high as measured in 1985.

In a few cases, approval ratings have reached the highest point in 22 years in 2007. The latter is the case with the importance of the Social Security program compared to other domestic programs (68\% overall). Among non-retired people, the differences over time in this view of the Social Security program are even greater, from 61percent in 1985, to 56 percent in 1995, to 63 percent in 2005, to the current figure of 66 percent.

Communitarian value of the Social Security continues to be affirmed. An average of 84 percent now agree with the statement, "Even though I might be able to do better on my own, I think it is important to contribute to Social Security for the common good." Also, 83 percent of non-retired persons agree with the statement, "Maybe I won’t need Social Security when I retire, but I definitely want to know it's there in case I do."

Confidence in the future of Social Security is up somewhat, and is nearly back to the 1985 level before confidence began to decline; it is now 45 percent. Confidence continues to be strongly related to age, with those under age 40 the least confident.

The survey indicates concern about retirement security issues. Only a bare majority (52\%) is at least somewhat confident that they will have enough money to live comfortably in retirement (including 12 percent who say they are very confident).

The public continues to be opposed to private accounts within Social Security. Seven in 10 think it is not worth the cost to set up private accounts and 85 percent think that Social Security should remain a program that provides a predictable benefit without investment risk.

Opposition to private accounts within Social Security is paired with a high rate of approval of alternative retirement investment vehicles that supplement but do not replace part of Social Security: auto-IRA's, auto-401k's, and add-on accounts.

- 8 in 10 favor the creation of Auto-IRA's by employers who do not offer some type of retirement plans
- Three-quarters also favor Auto 401 k 's whereby they would be enrolled automatically by their employer unless they opted out; their funds would be invested for them unless they did so for themselves; their 401 k contributions would increase with salary increases, unless they opted out of this provision.
- Overall, 7 in 10 (71\%) (and 77\% of those 21-34) favor an add-on account, with the following provisions: a supplement to Social Security that would not alter it in any way; funds could not be touched until age 65; a small percentage of their paycheck would be automatically deposited into his or her account (with a 1\% employer match); and the system would be run by a centralized administrator.

There is indication that much of the public is open to a conversation about seeing that Social Security can meet its long-term financial obligations. A majority is willing to consider half of the 8 reform options tested: (1) increasing the income cap gradually to $\$ 150,000$; (2) increasing the payroll tax by $0.5 \%$ for both workers and their employers; (3) changing the benefit formula (to make it more progressive); and (4) investing part (15\%) of the Social Security Trust Fund. Moreover, a majority also favors the first three of the above options. This would be more than enough to secure financial solvency of Social Security for 75 years.

It should be noted that most of the reform options that a majority is willing to consider would raise revenues for Social Security in some fashion. The four options that fewer than a majority are willing to consider all entail benefit cuts in some fashion: (1) raising the retirement age (to 70); (2) indexing benefits to longevity, whereby lower monthly benefits would be paid over a longer period of time; (3) imposing a $5 \%$ benefit cut to new retirees; and (4) modified price indexing, whereby future benefits would be cut by $1 \%$ each year a person has contributed to Social Security, with low wage earners exempt.

Thus, there is a clear preference for raising revenues over cutting Social Security benefits. However, when asked which option they prefer, their response is: benefit cuts (2\%), revenue enhancements (37\%), or a balanced combination of the two (58\%). This finding would seem to indicate that the public is open to discussing some forms of benefit cuts as well, so long as additional revenues are raised.

By and large, in terms of support for reform options, there were few significant differences between Republicans and Democrats (see Figure A). In fact, if you look at the rankings for each option by party ID there are no differences. The top three reform options were favored by a majority of respondents in both parties [(1) Increase the Wage Cap, (2) Increase Payroll Tax and (3) Benefit Formula Change].

Democrats were more likely to favor Increasing the Payroll Tax and the Formula Change than Republicans. Republicans were much more likely than Democrats to favor Trust Fund Investment. Also, while only one-third of all respondents (33\%) favor Raising the Retirement Age, Republicans were more likely than Democrats to favor this reform option.

Figure A
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Consider and Favor for Reform Options by Party ID

| Party ID | Republican |  | Democrat |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Favor | Consider | Favor | Consider |
| Reform Options | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Formula Change | $53(3)$ | 64 | $59(3)$ | 69 |
| Raise Ret. Age | $37(5)$ | 52 | $32(5)$ | 44 |
| Increase Wage Cap | $72(1)$ | 83 | $72(1)$ | 80 |
| Trust Fund Inv. | $50(4)$ | 63 | $34(4)$ | 50 |
| Increase Payroll Tax | $57(2)$ | 68 | $62(2)$ | 76 |
| Modified Price Ind. | $25(8)$ | 36 | $27(8)$ | 38 |
| Longevity Ind. | $32(6)$ | 49 | $30(6)$ | 44 |
| 5\% Cut for New Ret. | $25(7)$ | 43 | $29(7)$ | 45 |

* $(x)=$ Option ranking for party

It should be noted that although the youngest age group (21-34) is lowest in willingness to consider, and in favoring, increasing the payroll tax and in increasing the income cap, they are the highest of all age groups in willingness to consider, and in favoring, three of the reform options that would most affect them: longevity indexing, $5 \%$ cut in benefits for new retirees, and especially, modified price indexing (see Figure B). Whereas it is not clear why this should be so, some recent research suggests that some younger workers are willing to forego some retirement benefits (such as retiree health benefits) in favor of more income in the present, especially since some of them do not think Social Security will be there for them when they retire. Therefore, it is worth doing additional research to find more about this age group's willingness to engage in Social Security reform.

Figure B
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Consider and Favor for Reform Options by Age

| Age | 21-34 |  | 35-49 |  | 50-64 |  | 65+ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Favor | Consider | Favor | Consider | Favor | Consider | Favor | Consider |
| Reform Options | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Formula Change | $57(2)$ | 70 | $52(3)$ | 63 | $55(3)$ | 65 | $64(3)$ | 73 |
| Raise Ret. Age | $34(7)$ | 49 | $34(5)$ | 47 | $34(5)$ | 45 | $31(4)$ | 48 |
| Increase Wage Cap | $67(1)$ | 77 | $70(1)$ | 80 | $72(1)$ | 82 | $77(1)$ | 85 |
| Trust Fund Inv. | $42(4)$ | 60 | $41(4)$ | 56 | $42(4)$ | 56 | $32(5)$ | 40 |
| Increase Payroll Tax | $52(3)$ | 68 | $57(2)$ | 70 | $60(2)$ | 74 | $73(2)$ | 81 |
| Modified Price Ind. | $31(8)$ | 46 | $25(8)$ | 36 | $25(8)$ | 32 | $22(8)$ | 33 |
| Longevity Ind. | $37(5)$ | 58 | $31(6)$ | 47 | $27(6)$ | 38 | $26(7)$ | 37 |
| 5\% Cut for New Ret. | $31(6)$ | 53 | $28(7)$ | 41 | $25(7)$ | 40 | $28(6)$ | 40 |

* $(\mathrm{x})=$ Option ranking for age group

Finally, there is an average 13 percent difference between willingness to consider a particular Social Security reform and favoring that particular reform. This means there is room in the conversation about Social Security reform to persuade people to support a particular reform option. Also, 22 percent on average neither favor nor oppose the particular reform options. This constitutes another group that should be targeted in the conversation about Social Security reform, since they are the most undecided.

Although there are differences among the age groups in the value of the Social Security program, except for a few instances (such as confidence in Social Security's future), these age differences are not large, and younger people support the Social Security system. As far as Social Security reform options are concerned, there are only minor differences in how the reform options are ranked by the four age groups. Willingness to consider raising the wage cap is ranked first among all age groups, as is favoring this reform option. Moreover, modified price indexing is ranked last by all age groups in terms of both considering and favoring the reform options.

## Retirement Security Survey Report

## Introduction

This survey examines the value of the Social Security program, a range of other retirement income vehicles (such as auto-IRA's, auto-401k’s, carve-out private accounts, and add-on accounts), and a range of possible Social Security reform options.

The survey is based on an online representative national sample of 1,514 adults, ages 21 and older. It was conducted by Knowledge Networks of Menlo Park, California in January 2007.

## Overview

Although the war in Iraq is the dominant political issue and overshadows all domestic issues, other parts of the survey indicate concern about retirement security issues. The importance and value of the Social Security program is affirmed and widely held among the public.

Confidence in the future of Social Security is up somewhat, and is back to be 1985 level before confidence began to decline. Confidence continues to be strongly related to age, with those under age 40 the least confident.

The public has retained its opposition of private accounts within Social Security, but this is paired with a high rate of approval of alternative retirement investment vehicles that supplement but do not replace part of Social Security: auto-IRA's, auto-401k's, and addon accounts.

There is indication that much of the public is open to a conversation about seeing that Social Security can meet its long-term financial obligations. A majority of the adult public, age 21 and older, is willing to consider half of the 8 reform options tested: increasing the cap, increasing FICA, changing the formula, and investing the Social Security Trust Fund. Moreover, a majority favor the first three of the above options.

## Findings

## Values and Importance of the Social Security Program

Some questions about values of the Social Security program are tracking questions that were asked in AARP Social Security Anniversary surveys as long ago as 1985. Results of all of the questions about the value of the Social Security program are highly positive and have remained so since 1985. In some of the measures support for Social Security has remained at the same level as in 1985. In a few instances, approval ratings have reached the highest point in 22 years in 2007. The latter is the case with the importance of the Social Security program compared to other domestic programs.

Almost everyone considers Social Security an important government program, and more than two-thirds (68\%) now consider it as one of the very most important government programs, the highest point in the last 22 years (see Figure 1). Even a majority (53\%) of the youngest age group (21-34) agree with this assessment. Among non-retired people, the differences over time are even greater, from 61 percent in 1985, to 56 percent in 1995, to 63 percent in 2005, to the current figure of 66 percent.

The older one is, the more likely he or she is to consider Social Security one of the very most important government programs: more than three-quarters (78\%) of people 50+, compared to 6 in $10(59 \%)$ of people under age 50 . It is important to note that a majority of all age groups grant Social Security this status. Minorities, the least educated, those with lower incomes, Democrats and Liberals/Moderates also think Social Security is one of the most important government programs, although it must be pointed our that majorities of Republicans (57\%) and Conservatives (58\%) accord Social Security "most important" status.

An average of 85 percent with no significant differences over time since 1995 when this question was first asked in AARP surveys agree with the statement, "Even though I might be able to do better on my own, I think it is important to contribute to Social Security for the common good." More than 8 in 10 (83\%) non-retired persons agree with the statement, "Maybe I won't need Social Security when I retire, but I definitely want to know it's there in case I do."

Figure 1
Rated Social Security Most Important Government Program


## Confidence in the Social Security Program

Confidence in the future of the Social Security program has essentially reached the previous high-water mark of 46 percent in 1985, reversing a decline noticed in 1995 and 2005 (see Figure 2).

Confidence in the future of the Social Security system is directly related to age, with just over one-third (34\%) of people under 50 being at least somewhat confident of Social Security, compared to more than 6 in 10 (63\%) of those 50+. There are no differences by gender, party identification or political ideology in confidence. However, there are socioeconomic differences: people who most need Social Security (people with no more than a high-school education and people whose household income is under \$30,000 a year) are more confident than those who presumably are not so dependent on Social Security when they retire (people with at least some college).

Figure 2
Confidence in the Future of the Social Security System


## Concern about Retirement Security

Only a bare majority (52\%) are at least somewhat confident that they will have enough money to live comfortably in retirement (including 12 \% who say they are very confident). Almost one-half (48\%) are not confident - either not too confident (33\%) or not at all confident (15\%).

Men (56\%) are more confident than women (49\%). Two-thirds of those ages 65+ (67\%) are confident, compared to approximately one-half (49\%) of those under age 65. Also more confident were whites (55\%), those with a college education or more (69\%), those with household annual incomes of $\$ 75,000$ or more ( $75 \%$ ), Republicans ( $63 \%$ ), and Conservatives (61\%).

## Private Accounts Funded Out of Social Security

Three sets of "pro-con" statements about private accounts were presented with the following results:

- Even though private accounts let me invest part of my Social Security taxes in the stock market, I do not think that is a good idea if it will cost the federal government nearly $\$ 1$ trillion (depending on the plan) for the first ten years and more after that to set up private accounts. Overall, 7 in 10 (71\%) agree more with this statement than the one that states: Even though it could cost the federal government nearly $\$ 1$ trillion dollars (depending on the plan) for the first 10 years and even more after that to set up, I still think private accounts are a good idea if it lets me invest part of my Social Security taxes in the stock market. While 8 in 10 (81\%) of those ages 50+ agree with the former statement, nearly two-thirds (65\%) of those under age 50 do so as well.
- Social Security should remain a program that provides a predictable benefit without investment risk. More than 8 in 10 across all age groups agree with this statement, compared to the statement that Social Security should be more like an investment account with people taking the risk of possible investment losses for the possibility of greater returns.
- There are sharp differences by age over whether or not private accounts funded out of Social Security should be part of any discussion about Social Security's future. People under age 50 are approximately evenly divided over this choice, whereas 63 percent of those ages 50+ say private accounts should not be part of the discussion about Social Security's future.

Although those under age 50 opted, by a narrow margin, to approve discussion of private accounts as part of any discussion about Social Security's future, when confronted with "pro-con" arguments about private accounts, they sided with the anti-private-account argument in each case by a wide margin.

## Auto-IRA

Nearly 8 in 10 (79\%) agree that employers who do not offer some type of retirement plan should be required to offer workers the option to regularly save a part of their paycheck in a personal individual retirement account or IRA, even if the employer does not contribute to that account. Forty-three percent strongly agree.

Significantly more respondents ages 65+ (85\%) and ages 21-34 (82\%) agree with this requirement, as do women (81\%), non-whites (85\%), people with incomes under \$30,000 (82\%), Democrats (86\%), and liberals (85\%) and moderates (81\%).

Figure 3
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Agree That Employers Should be Required to Offer Some Type of Retirement Plan or IRA


## Auto 401K

A high percentage of respondents across all age groups, but especially those under age 50 (75\%), favor an auto 401K that has the following features:

- Your employer would enroll you automatically and set up your contribution through payroll deduction, unless you choose not to participate. More than threequarters across all age groups favor this feature. People ages 65+ (83\%) favor this feature most among all age groups (see Figure 4).
- Your contributions would be invested for you unless you choose investments on your own. Approximately 7 in 10 across all age groups favor this requirement. There are no significant age differences.
- The percentage of your salary contributed to this plan would increase when you get a raise (up to a maximum of your salary), unless you choose for this not to happen. Approximately three-quarters or more across all age groups favor this requirement. People ages 65+ favor this feature more than other age groups.

Figure 4
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor Automatic 401K Proposal


## Add-On Accounts

Overall, 7 in 10 (71\%) survey respondents favor the proposal that was presented to them for add-on accounts. This proposal was favored by three-quarters of those under age 50 (76\%) and by nearly two-thirds (65\%) of those 50+. Moreover, survey respondents favored each of the three elements of this proposal, by an even wider margin:

- This plan would simply serve as a supplement to the Social Security system, not altering the program in any way. Overall, 83 percent favored this feature, with 78 percent of those 65+ favoring this feature.
- Funds contributed to this account could not be touched until age 65. More than 7 in 10 (72\%) overall favored this feature. There are no age differences.
- A small percentage of every worker's paycheck would be automatically deposited into his own account, with his/her employer required to match with a one percent contribution. More than three-quarters (78\%) overall favored this feature. There are no statistically significant age differences.
- The system would be run by a centralized administrator, to better track individuals over their lifetimes. Two-thirds favored this feature, with the youngest age group (21-34) favoring it the most (74\%) (see Figure 5).

Figure 5
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor a Proposal for Add-On Accounts


## Findings for Social Security Reform Options

A total of eight Social Security reform options were presented to respondents. Respondents were first asked if they would consider the reform options presented and then were asked if they favored or opposed these options. Below are findings for respondents' opinions of each reform option. [Please see the Appendix for the full statement of reform options tested before reading about the specific reform options below.]

## General Overview

A majority are willing to consider four reform options (increase the cap, increase FICA, change the formula, and invest the trust fund). A near majority are willing to consider two more: raise the retirement age (47\%) and, index benefits to longevity (46\%). Forty-four percent are willing to consider a 5 percent benefit cut for new retirees, and 37 percent are willing to consider modified price indexing (see Figure 6).

A majority favor three of the reforms: increase the cap, increase FICA, and change the formula. Four in 10 favor investing the trust fund, and a third favor raising the retirement age. Three in 10 favor the longevity index, and slightly more than one-quarter favor a 5 percent benefit cut for new retirees and changing to a modified price index.

Figure 6
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Social Security Reform Options

| Reform Option | Consider | Favor | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase the cap | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $10 \%$ |
| Increase FICA | $72 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Change the formula | $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $56 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |
| Invest the Trust Fund | $54 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| Raise the retirement age | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| Longevity index | $46 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| $5 \%$ benefit cut new retirees | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Modified Price indexing | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |

There is an average 13 percent difference between willingness to consider a particular Social Security reform and favoring that particular reform. This means there is room in the conversation about Social Security reform to persuade people to support a particular reform option. Also, an average of 22 percent neither favor nor oppose the particular reform options. This constitutes another group that should be targeted in the conversation about Social Security reform.

It should be noted that the reform options most likely to be considered and favored are revenue enhancements, and the least considered and favored options represent benefit cuts. At the end of the survey, respondents were asked if they generally favored benefit cuts, revenue enhancements, or a balanced combination of the two. Only 2 percent opted for benefit cuts; 38 percent chose revenue enhancements; and 6 in 10 (58\%) preferred a balanced combination of the two.

## Summary of Findings for Each Reform Option

## Formula Change ${ }^{1}$

Generally, more respondents are willing to consider changing the formula as a reform option for Social Security than those who favor this option. Two-thirds of all respondents (67\%) report they are willing to consider changing the formula as a means of reforming Social Security (see Figure 7). Less than one-quarter (23\%) would not consider this option at all.

More than 6 in 10 respondents in both major parties would be willing to consider changing the formula as a reform option (69\% Democrat; 64\% Republican).

A majority of adults across all age groups favor changing the formula as a way to reform Social Security (see Figure 7). One-quarter of respondents (25\%) oppose this option. Respondents in the oldest age group ( $64 \%$ ages $65+$ ) are more likely to favor changing the formula than all other age groups (see Figure 7).

There are marginal party differences among those who favor changing the formula as a means to reform Social Security (59\% Democrats; 53\% Republicans). Less than onethird of Republicans (30\%) and less than one-quarter of Democrats (21\%) oppose changing the formula.

Figure 7
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor and Consider the Formula Change as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^0]
## Raise Retirement Age ${ }^{2}$

Generally, more respondents are willing to consider raising the retirement age as a reform option for Social Security than those who favor this option. Almost one-half of all respondents (47\%) report they are willing to consider raising the retirement age as a means of reforming Social Security (see Figure 8). A similar percentage of respondents (45\%) would not consider this option at all. There are no significant differences among age groups for those who would consider raising the retirement age.

Slightly more than one-half of Republicans (52\%) are willing to consider raising the retirement age while less than half of Democrats (44\%) are willing to consider it.

A higher percentage of adults oppose raising the retirement age than favor it (oppose 49\%; favor 33\%). There are no significant differences across age groups (see Figure 8).

There are marginal party differences among those who favor raising the retirement age as a means to reform Social Security (32\% Democrats; 37\% Republicans). A greater percentage of Democrats (52\%) than Republicans (44\%) oppose raising the retirement age.

Figure 8

$$
(\mathrm{n}=1,514)
$$

Favor and Consider Raising the Retirement Age as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^1]
## Increase the Cap ${ }^{3}$

A total of 8 in 10 respondents ( $81 \%$ ) report they are willing to consider increasing the cap (see Figure 9). While a substantial majority of respondents across all age groups are willing to consider increasing the cap, respondents ages 65+ (85\%) are more likely than the youngest respondents ages 21-35 (77\%) to consider this reform option.

There are no significant differences between Republicans (83\%) and Democrats (80\%) who are willing to consider increasing the cap as a reform option.

A higher percentage of respondents favor increasing the age cap than oppose it (71\% favor; $10 \%$ oppose; $19 \%$ neither favor nor oppose). As age increases respondents are more likely to favor increasing the cap (see Figure 9).

There are no party differences among those who favor increasing the cap as a means to reform Social Security ( $72 \%$ Democrats; 72\% Republicans). Similarly, a small but equal percentage of both Democrats (10\%) and Republicans (10\%) oppose increasing the cap.

Figure 9

$$
(n=1,514)
$$

Favor and Consider Increasing the Cap as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^2]
## Trust Fund Investment ${ }^{4}$

More than half of all respondents (54\%) report they are willing to consider Trust Fund investment as a means of reforming Social Security (see Figure 10). As age increases respondents are less likely to consider Trust Fund investment as a reform option. Nearly one-third of respondents (31\%) would not consider this option at all.

A greater percentage of Republicans (63\%) than Democrats (50\%) are willing to consider Trust Fund investment as a reform option. One-third of Democrats (34\%) report they would not consider Trust Fund investment at all while one-quarter of Republicans (25\%) would not consider this reform option.

A greater percentage of respondents favor than oppose Trust Fund investment as a reform option (favor $40 \%$; oppose 32\%). Respondents ages 65+ (32\%) are less likely to favor Trust Fund investment than younger age groups (see Figure 10).

There are significant party differences among those who favor Trust Fund investment as a means to reform Social Security (34\% Democrats; 50\% Republicans). A greater percentage of Democrats (36\%) than Republicans (24\%) oppose Trust Fund investment.

Figure 10
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor and Consider Trust Fund Investment as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^3]
## Increase Payroll Tax ${ }^{5}$

A total of 7 in 10 respondents (72\%) report they are willing to consider this reform option (see Figure 11). While a considerable majority of respondents across all age groups are willing to consider increasing the payroll tax, respondents ages 65+ are much more likely than younger respondents to consider this reform option. One-fifth of all respondents (21\%) are not at all willing to consider this reform option.

A greater percentage of Democrats (76\%) than Republicans (68\%) are willing to consider increasing payroll taxes as a reform option. One-quarter of Republicans (26\%) and 17 percent of Democrats report they will not consider this reform option at all.

A higher percentage of adults favor increasing payroll taxes than oppose it (59\% favor; $24 \%$ oppose). As age increases respondents are more likely to favor increasing payroll taxes (see Figure 11). Less than one-fifth of all respondents (17\%) neither favor nor oppose increasing payroll taxes.

A greater percentage of Democrats (62\%) than Republicans (57\%) are favor increasing payroll taxes as a reform option. Approximately 3 in 10 Republicans (29\%) and one-fifth of Democrats (20\%) oppose this reform option.

Figure 11
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor and Consider Increasing the Payroll Tax as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^4]
## Modified Price Indexing ${ }^{6}$

Slightly more than one-third of all respondents (37\%) are willing to consider modified price indexing as a reform option for Social Security and nearly half (48\%) are not willing to consider this reform option at all (see Figure 12). As age increases respondents are more likely to report they will not consider modified price indexing at all.

Slightly more than half of Republicans (51\%) and less than half of Democrats (46\%) are not at all willing to consider modified price indexing.

One-quarter of all respondents (26\%) favor modified price indexing and nearly half (48\%) oppose it (see Figure 12). As age increases a greater percentage of respondents oppose this reform option. The greatest support for this reform option is found among the youngest age group (31\% ages 21-34). This younger age group is also more likely to be indecisive about modified price indexing as a reform option. Nearly one-third (31\%) report they neither favor nor oppose it.

There are no significant party differences among those who favor modified price indexing as a means to reform Social Security ( $27 \%$ Democrats; 25\% Republicans). On the other hand a greater percentage of Republicans (53\%) than Democrats (44\%) oppose modified price indexing.

Figure 12
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor and Consider Modified Price Indexing as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^5]
## Longevity Indexing ${ }^{7}$

There is an almost even split between the percentage of respondents who will consider ( $46 \%$ ) and those who will not at all consider (44\%) longevity indexing as a reform option for Social Security. As age increases respondents are less likely to consider longevity indexing (see Figure 13). A greater percentage of respondents in the youngest age group than older age groups are more likely to consider this reform option.

Slightly more Republicans (49\%) than Democrats (44\%) are willing to consider longevity indexing.

Three in 10 respondents (30\%) favor longevity indexing and nearly half (46\%) oppose it (see Figure 13). As age increases the percentage of respondents who favor this reform option decreases. The greatest support for this reform option is found among the youngest age group ( $37 \%$ ages 21-34). This younger age group is also more likely to be indecisive about longevity indexing as a reform option. More than one-third (34\%) report they neither favor nor oppose it.

There are no significant party differences among those who favor longevity indexing as a means to reform Social Security (30\% Democrats; 32\% Republicans). Similarly, an equal percentage of Republicans (45\%) and Democrats (45\%) oppose longevity indexing.

Figure 13
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )


[^6]
## 5\% Cut for New Retirees ${ }^{8}$

There is virtually an even split between the percentage of respondents who will consider ( $44 \%$ ) and those who will not at all consider ( $45 \%$ ) a 5 percent cut for new retirees as a reform option for Social Security. As age increases respondents are less likely to consider this as an option for reform (see Figure 14). A greater percentage of respondents in the youngest age group than older age groups are more likely to consider this reform option.

There are no significant differences between Republicans (43\%) and Democrats (45\%) who are willing to consider a 5 percent cut for new retirees.

Less than 3 in 10 respondents (28\%) favor a 5 percent cut for new retirees and nearly half (48\%) oppose it (see Figure 14). The greatest support for this reform option is found among the youngest age group ( $31 \%$ ages $21-34$ ). This younger age group is also more likely to be indecisive about this reform option. Three in 10 (30\%) report they neither favor nor oppose it. Respondents ages 50-64 (25\%) are least likely to favor a 5 percent cut for new retirees.

There are marginal party differences among those who favor a 5 percent cut for new retirees as a means to reform Social Security (29\% Democrats; 25\% Republicans). Similarly, party differences are slight for those who oppose this reform option (51\% Republicans and 46\% Democrats).

Figure 14
( $\mathrm{n}=1,514$ )
Favor and Consider 5\% Cut for New Retirees as a Reform Option for Social Security


[^7]
## Methodology

The data for this study were collected by Knowledge Networks, a national survey research firm. The data were collected online from a nationally representative sample of 1,514 adults ages 21 and older. This poll was fielded between January $19^{\text {th }}$ and January $29^{\text {th }}, 2007$.

This survey has a sampling error of plus or minus 3 percentage points. This means that in 95 out of 100 samples of this size, the results obtained in the sample would fall in a range of 3 percentage points of what would have been obtained if every adult ages 21 and older in the United States had been polled.

For presentation purposes, percentage points have been rounded off to the nearest whole number. As a result, percentages in a given table column may total slightly higher or lower than 100 percent. For questions that permit multiple responses, columns may total to significantly more than 100 percent, depending on the number of different responses offered by each respondent. Similarly, when only selected responses are shown, percentages may total less than 100 percent.

# AARP Retirement Security Survey <br> Annotated Questionnaire January, 2007 <br> $$
(n=1,514)
$$ 

Q1: What issue do you think should be the top priority for Congress to work on next year?

|  | year? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Dealing with the war in Iraq | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |
|  | Raising the minimum wage | 826 | 54.6 |
|  | Addressing Americans' need to prepare for | 91 | 6.0 |
|  | retirement security | 72 | 4.8 |
|  | Lowering prescription drug prices | 72 | 4.8 |
|  | Reforming corruption/ethics in government | 152 | 10.0 |
|  | Reducing the federal budget deficit | 105 | 6.9 |
|  | Addressing reform of the healthcare system | 194 | 12.8 |
|  | Total | 1,512 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 2 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q2: How likely do you think it is that in the next year Congress will take action (for example holding hearings or passing legislation) to address \{RESPONSE @Q1\}?

|  |  | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very Likely | 458 | 30.3 |
|  | Somewhat likely | 528 | 35.0 |
|  | Not very likely | 414 | 27.4 |
|  | Not at all likely | 111 | 7.3 |
|  | Total | 1,511 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 3 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q3: How much of a priority do you consider Americans' need to prepare for retirement security?

|  |  |  | Valid |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid | More important than other issues | 251 | 16.6 |
|  | Just as important as other issues | 1,134 | 75.1 |
|  | Less important then other issues | 125 | 8.3 |
|  | Total | 1,510 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 4 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q4: Overall, how confident are you that you (and your spouse) will have enough money to live comfortably throughout your retirement years?

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very confident | Frequency | 175 |
|  | Somewhat confident | 616 | 40.7 |
|  | Not too confident, or | 495 | 32.8 |
|  | Not at all confident | 225 | 14.9 |
|  | Total | 1,511 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 3 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q5: As you may know, nearly half of all workers in the United States do not have access to a 401(k) plan, a pension plan, or another type of employer retirement plan at work.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly agree | Frequency | 645 |
|  | Somewhat agree | 544 | 36.0 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 199 | 13.2 |
|  | Strongly disagree | 124 | 8.2 |
|  | Total | 1,513 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 1 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q6a: Your employer would enroll you in the plan and set up your contribution through payroll deduction, unless you choose not to participate.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor | 534 | 35.4 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 642 | 42.6 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 215 | 14.3 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 117 | 7.8 |
|  | Total | 1,509 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 5 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q6b: Your contributions would be invested for you unless you choose investments on your own.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor | Frequency | 25.6 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 387 | 43.9 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 663 | 20.5 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 309 | 151 |
|  | Total | 1,510 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 4 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q6c: The percentage of your salary contributed to the plan would increase when you get a raise (up to a maximum of 6\% of your salary), unless you choose for this not to happen.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | happen. | Valid <br> Percent |
| Valid | Strongly favor | Frequency | 479 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 676 | 44.7 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 227 | 15.0 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 127 | 8.4 |
|  | Total | 1,509 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 5 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q7: Two statements - Private accounts worth the cost?


Q8: Two statements - Social Security \& Risk

|  |  | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Valid | Social Security should remain a program that <br> provides a predictable benefit without <br> investment risk. | 1,282 | 85.1 |
|  | Social Security should be more like an <br> investment account with people taking the risk <br> of possible investment losses for the <br> possibility of greater returns. | 224 | 14.9 |
|  | Total | 1,506 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 8 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q9: Two statements - Social Security \& Private Accounts

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Valid | Private accounts funded out of Social Security <br> should be part of any discussion about Social <br> Security's future. | 682 | 45.7 |
|  | Since private accounts funded out of Social <br> Security only make Social Security's problems <br> worse, they should not be part of any <br> discussion about Social Security's future. | 812 | 54.3 |
|  | Total | 1,493 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 21 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q10: Generally, how much do you favor or oppose this new proposal for Add-On Accounts?

|  | Accounts? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor |  | Valid |  |  |
|  | Somewhat favor | Frequency | Percent |  |  |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 235 | 15.6 |  |  |
|  | Strongly oppose | 834 | 55.4 |  |  |
|  | Total | 291 | 19.3 |  |  |
|  | Refused | 146 | 9.7 |  |  |
| Missing |  | 1,506 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 8 |  |  |  |

Q11a: This plan would simply serve as a supplement to the Social Security system, not altering the program in any way.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor | Frequency | 34.5 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 520 | 48.4 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 729 | 11.3 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 87 | 5.8 |
|  | Total | 1,507 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 7 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q11b: Funds contributed to this account could not be touched until age 65.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor | 506 | 33.5 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 576 | 38.1 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 305 | 20.2 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 124 | 8.2 |
|  | Total | 1,512 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 2 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q11c: A small percentage of every worker's paycheck would be automatically deposited into his own account, with his/her employer required to match with a 1 percent contribution.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor | Frequency | 34.2 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 514 | 43.4 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 653 | 14.3 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 215 | 8.1 |
|  | Total | 122 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 1,505 |  |
| Total |  | 9 | 1,514 |

Q11d: The system would be run by a centralized administrator, to better track individuals over their lifetimes.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly favor | Frequency | 333 |
|  | Somewhat favor | 668 | 44.4 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | 318 | 21.1 |
|  | Strongly oppose | 185 | 12.3 |
|  | Total | 1,504 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 10 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q12: Compared with other domestic government programs, how important a program do you think Social Security is? Would you say the Social Security system is:

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | One of the very most important programs of <br> government | Frequency <br> Percent |  |
|  | An important program but not one of the very | 1,020 | 67.9 |
|  | most important | 445 | 29.6 |
|  | Not a very important program | 37 | 2.5 |
|  | Total | 1,502 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 12 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q13: How much confidence do you have in the future of the Social Security system?

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very confident | 115 | 7.6 |
|  | Somewhat confident | 570 | 37.7 |
|  | Not too confident | 631 | 41.7 |
|  | Not at all confident | 195 | 12.9 |
|  | Total | 1,511 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 3 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q14a: To insure that Social Security will be there for me when I retire, I would be willing to pay more now in payroll taxes.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly agree | Frequency | 152 |
|  | Somewhat agree | 585 | 39.1 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 465 | 31.0 |
|  | Strongly disagree | 300 | 20.0 |
|  | Total | 1,501 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 13 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q14b: To insure that Social Security will be there for today's older people, I would be willing to pay more in payroll taxes.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly agree | Frequency | 154 |
|  | Somewhat agree | 552 | 36.3 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 492 | 32.8 |
|  | Strongly disagree | 304 | 20.3 |
|  | Total | 1,503 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 11 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q14c: Even though I think I might be able to do better on my own, I think it's important to continue to contribute to Social Security for the common good.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly agree | 611 | 40.6 |
|  | Somewhat agree | 656 | 43.6 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 159 | 10.6 |
|  | Strongly disagree | 79 | 5.2 |
|  | Total | 1,504 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 10 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q14d: Maybe I won't need Social Security when I retire, but I definitely want to know it's there just in case I do.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly agree | Frequency | 956 |
|  | Somewhat agree | 378 | 25.5 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 102 | 6.8 |
|  | Strongly disagree | 70 | 4.6 |
|  | Total | 1,506 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 8 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q14e: For many older Americans, Social Security makes it possible for them to remain independent and not have to depend on their children or family.

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly agree | 52.6 |  |
|  | Somewhat agree | 593 | 36.7 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 116 | 7.7 |
|  | Strongly disagree | 45 | 3.0 |
|  | Total | 1,506 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 8 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Q14f: Social Security provides financial security for the people I know who receive it; without Social Security they would really suffer.

|  | without Social Security they would realiy suffer. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | Strongly agree | 59.5 |  |  |
|  | Somewhat agree | 449 | 29.9 |  |
|  | Somewhat disagree | 126 | 8.4 |  |
|  | Strongly disagree | 33 | 2.2 |  |
|  | Total | 1,502 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | Refused | 12 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |  |

U1: How comfortable are you with understanding what we mean when we say that a reform option will solving a certain percentage the financial problem?

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | I understand what you mean by solving a | Frequency | 1,305 |
|  | percentage of the financial problem | 86.9 |  |
|  | I don't understand what you mean | 197 | 13.1 |
|  | Total | 1,502 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 12 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r1_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Formula Change)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very well | Frequency | 680 |
|  | Fairly well | 623.2 |  |
|  | Not too well | 131 | 41.4 |
|  | Not at all well | 70 | 8.7 |
|  | Total | 1,504 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 10 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r2_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Raise Retirement Age)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Valid | Very well | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |
|  | Fairly well | 837 | 55.8 |
|  | Not too well | 450 | 30.0 |
|  | Not at all well | 108 | 7.2 |
|  | Total | 105 | 7.0 |
|  | Refused | 1,500 | 100.0 |
| Missing |  | 14 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r3_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Increase The Cap)

| r3_0: How well |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Valid <br> Valid |
|  | Very well | 703 | 47.1 |
|  | Fairly well | 617 | 41.3 |
|  | Not too well | 122 | 8.2 |
|  | Not at all well | 52 | 3.5 |
|  | Total | 1,495 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 19 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r4_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Trust Fund Investment)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very well | Frequency | 548 |
|  | Fairly well | 636 | 42.5 |
|  | Not too well | 213 | 14.2 |
|  | Not at all well | 100 | 6.7 |
|  | Total | 1,496 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 18 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r5_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Increase Payroll Tax)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very well | 50.6 |  |
|  | Fairly well | 757 | 38.7 |
|  | Not too well | 83 | 5.6 |
|  | Not at all well | 77 | 5.2 |
|  | Total | 1,497 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 17 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r6_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Modified Price Indexing)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Very well | Frequency | 436 |
|  | Fairly well | 616 | 41.2 |
|  | Not too well | 311 | 20.8 |
|  | Not at all well | 133 | 8.9 |
|  | Total | 1,496 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 18 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r7_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Longevity Indexing)

| r7_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: Longevity Indexing) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Valid <br> Valid |
|  | Very well | Frequency | 372 |
|  | Fairly well | 648 | 43.1 |
|  | Not too well | 184 | 12.3 |
|  | Not at all well | 96 | 6.4 |
|  | Total | 1,500 | 100.0 |
|  | 1,14 |  |  |
| Missing | Refused | 1,514 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

r8_0: How well do you understand this reform:(Reform Option: 5\% Cut For New Retirees)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| Valid | Very well | 582 | 38.9 |
|  | Fairly well | 641 | 42.9 |
|  | Not too well | 182 | 12.2 |
|  | Not at all well | 90 | 6.0 |
|  | Total | 1,496 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 18 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r1_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Formula Change)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | Frequency | 411 |
|  | Consider Some | 592 | 39.6 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 344 | 23.0 |
|  | Can't Say | 149 | 10.0 |
|  | Total | 1,496 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 18 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r2_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Raise Retirement Age)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | Frequency | 228 |
|  | Consider Some | 483 | 32.2 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 680 | 45.3 |
|  | Can't Say | 109 | 7.3 |
|  | Total | 1,501 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 13 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r3_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Increase The Cap)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | 667 | 44.6 |
|  | Consider Some | 539 | 36.0 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 147 | 9.8 |
|  | Can't Say | 144 | 9.6 |
|  | Total | 1,496 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 18 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r4_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Trust Fund Investment)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | Frequency | 227 |
|  | Consider Some | 583 | 15.2 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 48.9 |  |
|  | Can't Say | 237 | 30.5 |
|  | Total | 1,498 | 15.4 |
| Missing | Refused | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r5_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Increase Payroll Tax)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | 28.5 |  |
|  | Consider Some | 427 | 43.9 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 658 | 20.5 |
|  | Can't Say | 307 | 7.2 |
|  | Total | 107 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 1,499 |  |
| Total |  | 15 |  |

r6_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Modified Price Indexing)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Palid |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Consider A Lot | Frequency | 7.2 |
|  | Consider Some | 107 | 29.7 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 444 | 48.2 |
|  | Can't Say | 720 | 14.9 |
|  | Total | 223 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 1,494 |  |
| Total |  | 20 |  |
|  |  | 1,514 |  |
|  | r7_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: Longevity Indexing) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Valid |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | 118 | 7.9 |
|  | Consider Some | 560 | 37.6 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 648 | 43.5 |
|  | Can't Say | 164 | 11.0 |
|  | Total | 1,490 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 24 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r8_1: Would you consider (Reform Option: 5\% Cut For New Retirees)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Consider A Lot | 7.8 |  |
|  | Consider Some | 117 | 35.6 |
|  | Not Consider At All | 532 | 45.2 |
|  | Can't Say | 675 | 11.4 |
|  | Total | 170 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 1,495 |  |
| Total |  | 19 |  |

r1_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Formula Change)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | Frequency | 370 |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 468 | 31.1 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 295 | 19.7 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 189 | 12.6 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 181 | 12.1 |
|  | Total | 1,503 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 11 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r2_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Raise Retirement Age)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | 140 | 9.3 |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 361 | 24.0 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 267 | 17.8 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 272 | 18.1 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 462 | 30.8 |
|  | Total | 1,501 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 13 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r3_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Increase The Cap)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | Frequency | 39.7 |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 469 | 31.2 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 284 | 18.9 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 62 | 4.1 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 89 | 6.0 |
|  | Total | 1,500 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 14 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r4_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Trust Fund Investment)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | Frequency | 185 |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 418 | 12.4 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 412 | 27.9 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 238 | 15.5 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 245 | 16.4 |
|  | Total | 1,498 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 16 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r5_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Increase Payroll Tax)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | 22.9 |  |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 544 | 36.2 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 261 | 17.4 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 176 | 11.7 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 177 | 11.8 |
|  | Total | 1,503 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 11 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r6_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Modified Price Indexing)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | 8.8 |  |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 305 | 20.4 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 381 | 25.4 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 293 | 19.6 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 431 | 28.8 |
|  | Total | 1,497 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 17 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r7_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: Longevity Indexing)

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | Frequency | 72 |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 378 | 25.3 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 355 | 23.8 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 334 | 22.3 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 355 | 23.8 |
|  | Total | 1,494 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 20 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

r8_2: Do you favor or oppose (Reform Option: 5\% Cut For New Retirees)

|  |  | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Strongly Favor | 80 | 5.4 |
|  | Somewhat Favor | 333 | 22.3 |
|  | Neither Favor Nor Oppose | 361 | 24.1 |
|  | Somewhat Oppose | 373 | 24.9 |
|  | Strongly Oppose | 350 | 23.4 |
|  | Total | 1,498 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 16 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

R9_1: Most favored option

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Formula Change | Frequency | 181 |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 92 | 7.3 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 477 | 37.9 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 141 | 11.2 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 250 | 19.8 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 60 | 4.8 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 35 | 2.8 |
|  | 5\% Cut for New Retirees | 24 | 1.9 |
|  | Total | 1,261 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 156 |  |
|  | Refused | 6 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 253 |  |
|  |  | 1,514 |  |

R9_2 : 2nd most favored option

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Formula Change | 195 | 17.5 |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 109 | 9.7 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 226 | 20.3 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 150 | 13.4 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 229 | 20.6 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 83 | 7.4 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 66 | 5.9 |
|  | $5 \%$ Cut for New Retirees | 58 | 5.2 |
|  | Total | 1,116 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 299 |  |
|  | Refused | 8 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 398 |  |

R9_3: 3rd most favored option

|  |  |  | Valid |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid | Formula Change | 170 | 19.2 |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 92 | 10.4 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 126 | 14.2 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 126 | 14.1 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 157 | 17.6 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 64 | 7.2 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 97 | 1.9 |
|  | 5\% Cut for New Retirees | 57 | 6.4 |
|  | Total | 890 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 530 |  |
|  | Refused | 3 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 624 |  |

R9_4: 4th most favored option

|  |  | Valid <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Formula Change | 105 | 17.1 |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 64 | 10.4 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 74 | 11.9 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 64 | 10.4 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 63 | 10.2 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 65 | 10.5 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 103 | 16.7 |
|  | 5\% Cut for New Retirees | 79 | 12.8 |
|  | Total | 616 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 801 |  |
|  | Refused | 5 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 898 |  |

R9_5: 5th most favored option

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Formula Change | 18.3 |  |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 71 | 10.2 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 40 | 9.9 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 32 | 8.3 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 54 | 13.8 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 50 | 12.7 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 41 | 10.6 |
|  | 5\% Cut for New Retirees | 63 | 16.2 |
|  | Total | 389 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 1,031 |  |
|  | Refused | 3 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 1,125 |  |

R9_6 : 6th most favored option

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Formula Change | 34 | 13.8 |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 17 | 6.8 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 21 | 8.7 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 17 | 7.0 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 39 | 16.0 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 24 | 9.7 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 52 | 21.2 |
|  | 5\% Cut for New Retirees | 41 | 16.7 |
|  | Total | 245 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 1,175 |  |
|  | Refused | 3 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 1,269 |  |

R9_7: 7th most favored option

|  |  |  | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | | Valid |
| ---: |
| Percent |,

R9_8 : 8th most favored option

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Valid <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | Formula Change | 5 | 6.5 |
|  | Raise Retirement Age | 18 | 25.2 |
|  | Increase the Cap | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | Trust Fund Investment | 5 | 7.5 |
|  | Increase the Payroll Tax | 9 | 12.6 |
|  | Modified Price Indexing | 5 | 7.5 |
|  | Longevity Indexing | 5 | 7.1 |
|  | 5\% Cut for New Retirees | 22 | 31.7 |
|  | Total | 71 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Not asked - favored less than two options | 1,349 |  |
|  | Refused | 3 |  |
|  | Cases shown invalid response list | 91 |  |
|  | Total | 1,443 |  |

R10: In general, do you prefer benefit cuts, revenue enhancements, or a balanced combination of the two?

|  |  |  | Valid |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid | Benefit cuts | 33 | 2.2 |
|  | Revenue enhancements | 554 | 37.8 |
|  | A balanced combination of the two | 879 | 60.0 |
|  | Total | 1,467 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Refused | 48 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

## Demographics

| DATA ONLY: Age Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | 18-24 | 81 | 5.3 |
|  | 25-34 | 292 | 19.3 |
|  | 35-44 | 338 | 22.3 |
|  | 45-54 | 303 | 20.0 |
|  | 55-64 | 261 | 17.3 |
|  | 65-74 | 158 | 10.4 |
|  | 75 and over | 80 | 5.3 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |
| What is your gender? |  |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | Male | 728 | 48.1 |
|  | Female | 786 | 51.9 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | White, Non-Hispanic | 1,059 | 69.9 |
|  | Black, Non-Hispanic | 167 | 11.1 |
|  | Other, Non-Hispanic | 79 | 5.2 |
|  | Hispanic | 193 | 12.8 |
|  | 2+ Races, Non-Hispanic | 16 | 1.1 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |
| What is the highest degree or level of education that you have completed? |  |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | Less than high school | 55 | 3.6 |
|  | Some high school, no diploma | 156 | 10.3 |
|  | Graduated from high school - Diploma or equivalent (GED) | 477 | 31.5 |
|  | Some college, no degree | 289 | 19.1 |
|  | Associate degree (AA, AS) | 116 | 7.7 |
|  | Bachelor's degree | 295 | 19.5 |
|  | Master's degree | 98 | 6.4 |
|  | Professional degree (MD, DDS, LLB, JD) | 14 | 0.9 |
|  | Doctorate degree | 15 | 1.0 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |

AARP Membership

|  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Not AARP member (age 50+) | 457 | 44.1 |
|  | AARP member (age 50+) | 281 | 55.9 |
|  | Total | 638 | 100.0 |
| Missing | Under 50 years old | 876 |  |
|  | Refused | 13 |  |
| Total |  | 1,514 |  |

Are you currently...

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Valid <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | Married | 806 | 53.2 |
|  | Single (never married) | 357 | 23.6 |
|  | Divorced | 226 | 14.9 |
|  | Widowed | 87 | 5.7 |
|  | Separated | 38 | 2.5 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |


| Household Income |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | Less than $\$ 5,000$ | 33 | 2.2 |
| $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,499$ | 40 | 2.6 |  |
| $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 9,999$ | 36 | 2.4 |  |
| $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 12,499$ | 57 | 3.8 |  |
| $\$ 12,500$ to $\$ 14,999$ | 59 | 3.9 |  |
| $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 19,999$ | 83 | 5.5 |  |
| $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ | 121 | 8.0 |  |
| $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 29,999$ | 102 | 6.7 |  |
| $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ | 106 | 7.0 |  |
| $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 39,999$ | 117 | 7.7 |  |
| $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | 169 | 11.2 |  |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 59,999$ | 158 | 10.4 |  |
| $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ | 137 | 9.0 |  |
| $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 84,999$ | 86 | 5.7 |  |
| $\$ 85,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | 76 | 5.0 |  |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 124,999$ | 61 | 4.0 |  |
| $\$ 125,000$ to $\$ 149,999$ | 35 | 2.3 |  |
| $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 174,999$ | 13 | 0.8 |  |
| $\$ 175,000$ or more | 26 | 1.7 |  |
| Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |  |

Which statement best describes your current employment status?

|  |  |  | Valid |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent |

Which of these types of housing best describes where you live?

|  |  | Frequency | Valid <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | A single-family house detached | 954 | 63.0 |
|  | A single-family house attached | 86 | 5.7 |
|  | An apartment | 266 | 17.6 |
|  | A condominium or co-op | 63 | 4.1 |
|  | College dormitory | 3 | 0.2 |
|  | A manufactured or mobile home | 108 | 7.1 |
|  | Other | 34 | 2.3 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |

Do you own or rent your residence


| Household Size |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | 1 | 356 | 23.5 |
|  | 2 | 535 | 35.3 |
|  | 3 | 284 | 18.8 |
|  | 4 | 209 | 13.8 |
|  | 5 | 80 | 5.3 |
|  | 6 | 36 | 2.4 |
|  | 7 | 6 | 0.4 |
|  | 8 | 5 | 0.3 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 0.1 |
|  | 10 | 1 | 0.1 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |
| Household Head |  |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | No | 240 | 15.8 |
|  | Yes | 1,274 | 84.2 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |
| HHs with Internet Access |  |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | No | 599 | 39.6 |
|  | Yes | 915 | 60.4 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |
| Political party affiliation (7 categories) Public Affairs Profile |  |  |  |
|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| Valid | Strong Republican | 224 | 14.8 |
|  | Not Strong Republican | 171 | 11.3 |
|  | Leans Republican | 201 | 13.3 |
|  | Undecided/Independent/Other | 99 | 6.5 |
|  | Leans Democrat | 307 | 20.3 |
|  | Not Strong Democrat | 209 | 13.8 |
|  | Strong Democrat | 301 | 19.9 |
|  | Refused | 1 | 0.1 |
|  | Total | 1,514 | 100.0 |


| Political ideology |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Extremely liberal |  | Valid <br> Percent |
|  | Liberal | 40 | 2.7 |
|  | Slightly liberal | 203 | 13.4 |
|  | Moderate, middle of the road | 168 | 11.1 |
|  | Slightly conservative | 593 | 39.2 |
|  | Conservative | 183 | 12.1 |
|  | Extremely conservative | 266 | 17.6 |
|  | Refused | 53 | 3.5 |
|  | Total | 9 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1,514 | 100.0 |

## APPENDIX

## Full Wording of the Social Security Reform Options

## 1. Benefit Formula Change

This proposal would gradually reduce benefits for future retirees who earned higher wages during their working years. A person who had the highest wages would face a maximum benefit reduction of about 10\%. A retiree eligible for a maximum benefit of about $\$ 2,120$ instead would receive about $\$ 1,890$ under this proposal. This proposal would not reduce the benefits received by low earners.

This change would solve about $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of Social Security's financial problem.

## 2. Raise Retirement Age

The age at which a person receives full or unreduced Social Security benefits is rising gradually and scheduled to become 67 for people born after 1959. This proposal would continue to increase the age at which a person would qualify for unreduced benefits so that after 2090 retirees would have to be 70 years old in order to get full benefits.

This change would solve about $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of Social Security's financial problem.

## 3. Increase the Wage Cap

Currently, workers and their employers pay Social Security taxes on the first $\$ 97,500$ of wages. This proposal would phase-in an increase to $\$ 150,000$ in the maximum amount of wages on which employers and employees pay Social Security taxes.

This change would solve about 50\% of Social Security's financial problem.

## 4. Trust Fund Investment

Any money Social Security collects that is not needed to pay benefits goes into the Social Security Trust Fund and is then invested in Treasury Bonds that pay about $5.6 \%$ interest to Social Security. This proposal would seek to reduce government borrowing of the Social Security funds, and potentially earn more money for the Trust Fund by hiring professional money managers to invest 15\% of the Fund in other bonds and index stock funds.

This change would solve about 15\% of Social Security's financial problem.

## 5. Increase Payroll Tax

This year workers and their employers both will pay $6.2 \%$ of the worker's wages up to $\$ 97,500$ to Social Security. This proposal would increase that tax from $6.2 \%$ to $6.7 \%$ for both workers and employers. For a worker earning \$40,000, this would be an additional $\$ 200$ per year.

This change would solve about 50\% of Social Security's financial problem.

## 6. Modified Price Indexing

One proposal is to cut future benefits 1 percent for every year a person has contributed to Social Security. This proposal, once effective, would cut benefits for most younger workers by between one-quarter and one-half, with low wage earners exempt.

This proposal would solve about 70\% of Social Security's financial problem.

## 7. Longevity Indexing

People are living longer after 65 than when Social Security started and are spending more time in retirement than in the past. This proposal changes how Social Security benefits are paid to reflect a longer life expectancy. It would adjust the benefit payment to future retirees, so they would receive the same amount of money from Social Security over their lifetimes as they would now. However, that amount would be paid in lower monthly benefits over a longer period of time.

This change would solve about 25\% of Social Security's financial problem.

## 8. 5\% Benefit Cut for New Retirees

This proposal saves money by reducing benefits by the same percentage for all future retirees who are currently younger than 55 years old. For example, a person would get $\$ 950$ each month instead of $\$ 1,000$.

This change would solve about $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of Social Security's financial problem.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Formula Change
    This proposal would gradually reduce benefits for future retirees who earned higher wages during their working years. A person who had the highest wages would face a maximum benefit reduction of about $10 \%$. A retiree eligible for a maximum benefit of about $\$ 2,120$ instead would receive about $\$ 1,890$ under this proposal. This proposal would not reduce the benefits received by low earners.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Raise Retirement Age
    The age at which a person receives full or unreduced Social Security benefits is rising gradually and scheduled to become 67 for people born after 1959. This proposal would continue to increase the age at which a person would qualify for unreduced benefits so that after 2090 retirees would have to be 70 years old in order to get full benefits.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Increase the Wage Cap
    Currently, workers and their employers pay Social Security taxes on the first $\$ 97,500$ of wages. This proposal would phase-in an increase to $\$ 150,000$ in the maximum amount of wages on which employers and employees pay Social Security taxes.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Trust Fund Investment
    Any money Social Security collects that is not needed to pay benefits goes into the Social Security Trust Fund and is then invested in Treasury Bonds that pay about $5.6 \%$ interest to Social Security. This proposal would seek to reduce government borrowing of the Social Security funds, and potentially earn more money for the Trust Fund by hiring professional money managers to invest $15 \%$ of the Fund in other bonds and index stock funds.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Increase Payroll Tax
    This year workers and their employers both will pay $6.2 \%$ of the worker's wages up to $\$ 97,500$ to Social Security. This proposal would increase that tax from $6.2 \%$ to $6.7 \%$ for both workers and employers. For a worker earning $\$ 40,000$, this would be an additional $\$ 200$ per year.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Modified Price Indexing
    One proposal is to cut future benefits 1 percent for every year a person has contributed to Social Security. This proposal, once effective, would cut benefits for most younger workers by between onequarter and one-half, with low wage earners exempt.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ Longevity Indexing
    People are living longer after 65 than when Social Security started and are spending more time in retirement than in the past. This proposal changes how Social Security benefits are paid to reflect a longer life expectancy. It would adjust the benefit payment to future retirees, so they would receive the same amount of money from Social Security over their lifetimes as they would now. However, that amount would be paid in lower monthly benefits over a longer period of time.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ 5\% Benefit Cut for New Retirees
    This proposal saves money by reducing benefits by the same percentage for all future retirees who are currently younger than 55 years old. For example, a person would get $\$ 950$ each month instead of $\$ 1,000$.

